ON THE NEUTRAL LOSSLESS TRANSMISSION LINE EQUATIONS WITH TUNNEL DIODE AND A LUMPED PARALLEL CAPACITANCE

Vasil Angelov

University of Mining and Geology "St. Ivan Rilski" Sofia 1700, Bulgaria E-mail: angelov@staff.mgu.bg

ABSTRACT

By means of a fixed point approach an existence theorem for neutral equations arising in transmission lines is proved.

The present paper continuous investigations on losless transmission lines equations with nonlinear resistive elements. In a previous paper [1] we have studied neutral equations ([2]-[4]) with nonlinearities caused by a nonlinear V-Icharacteristic which is of polynomial type. Here we consider an initial value problem for neutral equations with an exponential nonlinearity in the right-hand side. Such a problem is equivalent (cf. [5]-[7], [2]) to an initial-boundary value problem for linear hyperbolic system with a nonlinear boundary conditions. Indeed in some cases the approximation of the V-I characteristic curve generated by the diffusion current in semiconductors [8]-[9] can be fitted by an exponential function. The relation between the diffusion current and the voltage is of the type $i(u) = (Ae^{\alpha u} - 1)$, where A and α are constants. It is known that such type nonlinearities have also another applications (cf. [10], [11]).

Therefore formulating the initial value problem

$$\dot{u}(t) = F(u(\Delta_1, (t)), ..., u(\Delta_m, (t)), \dot{u}(\gamma_1, (t)), ..., \dot{u}(\gamma_n(t)), t > 0$$

$$u(t) = \varphi(t), t \le 0$$
 (1)
 $\dot{u}(t) = \dot{\varphi}(t), t \le 0,$

we have to impose such conditions on the right-hand side of (1), $F(u_1,...,u_m,v_1,...,v_n)$, in order to include exponential nonlinearities. For instance *F* can be chosen of the type

$$F(u_1,...,u_m,v_1,...,v_n) = A \sum_{k=1}^n (e^{\alpha_k u_k} - 1) + B \sum_{s=1}^n v_s .$$

We reduce the problem (1) to the following one (putting $y(t) = \dot{u}(t)$ for t > 0 and $\psi(t) = \dot{\varphi}(t)$ for $t \le 0$ assuming $\varphi(0) = 0$):

$$y(t) = F\left(\int_{0}^{\Delta_{1}(t)} y(\tau) d\tau, ..., \int_{0}^{\Delta_{n}(t)} y(\tau) d\tau, y(\gamma_{1}(t)), ..., y(\gamma_{n}(t))\right), t \in [0, T_{0}]$$
$$y(t) = \psi(t), t \leq 0.$$
(2)

We make the following assumptions (C):

(C1) functions
$$\Delta_i(t), \gamma_s(t): R^1_+ \to R^1$$

(i = 1,..., m; s = 1,..., n) are continuous and $t - \Delta_i(t) \ge \Delta_0 > 0$, $t - \gamma_s(t) \ge \gamma_0 > 0$ for some constants Δ_0 , γ_0 .

(C2) the functions $F(u_1,...,u_m,v_1,...,v_n): \mathbb{R}^{m+n} \to \mathbb{R}^1$ and $\psi(.): \mathbb{R}^1_- \to \mathbb{R}^1$ are continuous and satisfies the condition

$$\begin{split} \psi(0) &= F \left(\int_{0}^{\Delta_{1}(0)} \psi(s) ds, \dots, \int_{0}^{\Delta_{m}(0)} \psi(s) ds, \psi(\gamma_{1}(0)), \dots, \psi(\gamma_{n}(0)) \right) = \\ &= F(0, \dots, 0, \psi(0), \dots, \psi(0)). \end{split}$$

(C3)
$$|F(u_1,...,u_m,v_1,...,v_n)| \le A \sum_{k=1}^n |e^{\alpha_k u_k} - 1| + B \sum_{s=1}^n |v_s|$$

where A, B are positive constants.

(C4)
$$|F(u_1,...,u_m,v_1,...,v_n)| - |F(\overline{u}_1,\overline{u}_2...,\overline{u}_m,\overline{v}_1,...,\overline{v}_n)| \le A_1 \sum_{k=1}^m |e^{\alpha_k u_k} - e^{\alpha_k \overline{u}_k}| + B_1 \sum_{s=1}^n |v_s - \overline{v}_s|.$$

where A_1 and B_1 are positive constants.

(C5) $A \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{2 |\alpha_k| T_0}{2 - |\alpha_k| P_0 T_0} + nB \le 1$, where $P_0 > 0$ is chosen such that $|\alpha_k| P_0 T_0 < 2$.

Theorem 1. Under assumption (*C*) the initial value problem (2) has a unique continuous solution.

Proof. Consider the set *X* consisting of all continuous functions $f(t):[0,T_0] \rightarrow R^1$, $(T_0 > 0)$ whose restrictions on $(-\infty,0]$ coincide with $\psi(t)$. It becomes a uniform space endowed with the following saturated family of pseudometrics (metrics) (cf. [13]-[14]):

$$\mathcal{A} = \left\{ \rho_{\lambda}(f, \tilde{f}) : \lambda \in [0, \infty) \right\},$$
$$\rho(f, \tilde{f}) = \sup \left\{ e^{-\lambda t} \mid f(t) - \tilde{f}(t) \mid t \in [0, T_0] \right\}.$$

Introduce the following subset M of X:

$$M = \{ f(.) \in (X, \mathcal{A}) : | f(t) | \le P_0, t \in [0, T_0] \}$$

where P_0 is a constant which does not depend on f. It is easy to see that M is bounded and closed set. Define the operator $T: (X, \mathscr{A}) \to (X, \mathscr{A})$ by right-hand side of (2):

$$(Tf)(t) = \begin{cases} F\left(\int_{0}^{\Delta_{1}(t)} f(s)ds, ..., \int_{0}^{\Delta_{m}(t)} f(s)ds, f(\gamma_{1}(t)), ..., f(\gamma_{n}(t))\right), t \in [0, T_{0}]\\ \psi(t), t \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Obviously (Tf)(t) is a continuous function.

In what follows we show that T maps the set M into itself. Indeed let $f \in M$. Then in view of (C3) we have

$$|(Tf)(t)| \le A \sum_{k=1}^{m} |e^{\alpha_{k} \int_{0}^{\Delta_{k}(t)} f(s)ds} - 1| + B \sum_{s=1}^{n} |f(\gamma_{s}(t)| \le \left[A \sum_{k=1}^{m} (e^{|\alpha_{k}|P_{0}|\Delta_{k}(t)|} - 1) + nBP_{0}\right].$$

It is know that for 0 < w < 2 we have:

$$e^{w} - 1 = w + \frac{w^{2}}{2!} + \frac{w^{3}}{3!} + \dots + < w \left(1 + \frac{w}{2} + \left(\frac{w}{2}\right)^{2} + \dots \right) = \frac{2w}{2 - w}$$

Therefore

$$\left| \alpha_k \int_{0}^{\Delta_k(t)} f(s) ds \right| \leq |\alpha_k| P_0 |\Delta_k(t)| \leq |\alpha_k| P_0 T_0$$

and then

$$\begin{bmatrix} A \sum_{k=1}^{m} (e^{|\alpha_k|P_0|\Delta_k(t)|} - 1) + nBP_0 \end{bmatrix} \le A \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{2 |\alpha_k| P_0 T_0}{2 - |\alpha_k| P_0 T_0} + nBP_0 \le P_0 ,$$

provided $A \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{2 |\alpha_k| T_0}{2 - |\alpha_k| T_0} + nB \le 1$ which is (C5).

It remains to show that T is contractive operator. Indeed for every f and $\bar{f} \in M$ and in view of the inequalities

$$\begin{split} t - \Delta_0 &\geq \Delta_k(t) \geq \frac{|\alpha_k| P_0 \Delta_k(t)}{\lambda} \quad \text{(for sufficiently large} \\ \lambda &> 0 \text{)} \Rightarrow -\lambda t + |\alpha_k| P_0 \Delta_k(t) \leq -\lambda \Delta_0 \end{split}$$

we have for $t \in [0, T_0]$ for which $\Delta_k(t) > 0$:

$$|(Tf)(t) - (T\bar{f}(t)| \le A_{l} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \left| e^{\alpha_{k} \int_{0}^{\Delta_{k}(t)} f(s)ds} - e^{\alpha_{k} \int_{0}^{\Delta_{k}(t)} f(s)ds} \right| +$$

$$+ B_1 \sum_{s=1}^n |f(\gamma_s(t)) - \overline{f}(\gamma_s(t))| \le$$

$$\leq A_{I} \sum_{k=1}^{m} |\alpha_{k}| e^{\alpha_{k} \int_{0}^{\Delta_{k}(t)} \hat{f}(s)ds} \left| \int_{0}^{\Delta_{k}(t)} \int_{0}^{\Delta_{k}(t)} \bar{f}(s)ds - \int_{0}^{\Delta_{k}(t)} \bar{f}(s)ds \right| + B_{I} \sum_{s=1}^{n} e^{-\lambda\gamma_{s}(t)} \left| f(\gamma_{s}(t)) - \bar{f}(\gamma_{s}(t)) \right| e^{\lambda\gamma_{s}(t)} \leq \\ \leq A_{I} \sum_{k=1}^{m} |\alpha_{k}| e^{\alpha_{k}P_{0}\Delta_{k}(t)} \left| \int_{0}^{\Delta_{k}(t)} e^{\lambda s}ds \right| \rho_{\lambda}(f,\bar{f}) + \\ + B_{I} \sum_{s=1}^{n} e^{\lambda\gamma_{s}(t)} \rho_{\lambda}(f,\bar{f}) \leq \\ \leq e^{\lambda t} \left[A_{I} \sum_{k=1}^{m} |\alpha_{k}| e^{-\lambda t + \alpha_{k}P_{0}\Delta_{k}(t)} \left| \frac{e^{\lambda\Delta_{k}(t)} - 1}{\lambda} \right| + \\ + B_{I} \sum_{s=1}^{n} e^{-\lambda t + \lambda\gamma_{s}(t)} \right] \rho_{\lambda}(f,\bar{f}) \leq \\ \leq e^{\lambda t} \rho_{\lambda}(f,\bar{f}) \left[A_{I} \sum_{k=1}^{m} |\alpha_{k}| \frac{e^{-\lambda\Delta_{0}}e^{\lambda t}}{\lambda} + B_{I}ne^{-\lambda\gamma_{0}} \right] \leq$$

ANNUAL of University of Mining and Geology "St. Ivan Rilski", vol. 46(2003), part III, MECHANIZATION, ELECTRIFICATION AND AUTOMATION IN MINES

$$\leq e^{\lambda t} \rho_{\lambda}(f,\bar{f}) \left[\frac{A_{\mathbf{l}} e^{-\lambda \Delta_{0}} e^{\lambda T_{0}} \sum_{k=1}^{m} |\alpha_{k}|}{\lambda} + B_{\mathbf{l}} n e^{-\lambda \gamma_{0}} \right].$$

Consequently

$$\rho_{\lambda}(Tf, T\bar{f}) \leq \left[A_{1}\sum_{k=1}^{m} |\alpha_{k}| \frac{e^{\lambda T_{0} - \lambda \Delta_{0}}}{\lambda} + nB_{1}e^{-\lambda \gamma_{0}}\right] \rho_{\lambda}(f, \bar{f})$$

But for sufficiently large λ the expression in the bracket is smaller than 1 (if $T_0 - \Delta_0 \le 0$) and therefore *T* is contractive operator.

Finally we have to choose an initial approximation. Indeed let $x_0(t) = \begin{cases} \psi(t), t \le 0 \\ \psi(0), t > 0 \end{cases}.$

Then

$$|(Tx_0)(t) - x_0(t)| = \begin{cases} 0, t \le 0\\ |F(0,...,0,\psi(0),...,\psi(0))|, t > 0 \end{cases}$$

The map $j: A \rightarrow A$ in this case is $j(\lambda) = \lambda \Longrightarrow$

$$\Rightarrow j^k(\lambda) = \lambda$$
, i.e

 $\rho_{j^k(\lambda)}(x_0, Tx_0) \leq |F(0, ..., 0, \psi(0), ..., \psi(0))| < \infty (k = 1, 2, ...) .$

Therefore T has a unique fixed point which a solution of (2). Theorem is thus proved.

REFERENCES

- Angelov V.G., On the neutral equations with polynomial nonlinearities arising in lossless transmission lines. (ibid)
- Hale J. K. Theory of functional differential equations, *Spinger Verlag*, 1977.
- Myshkis A. D. Linear differential equations with retarded argument, *Nauka*, Moscow, 1972 (in Russian).
- Kamenskii G. A., Skubachevskii A. L. Linear boundary value problems for differential-difference equations, Moscow, 1992 (in Russian).
- Brayton R. K. Bifurcation of periodic solutions in a nonlinear difference-differential equations of neutral type. *Quarterly* of Applied Mathematics. v.24, N3, (1966), 215-224.
- Brayton R. K. Nonlinear oscillations in a distributed network. v.24, N4, (1968), 289-301.
- Lopes O. Forced oscillations in nonlinear neutral differential equations. SIAM J.Appl. Math., v.29, N1, (1975), 196-207.
- Bessonov L.A. Nonlinear electrical circuits. Moscow, 1977.
- Andreev V.S., V.I. Popov, A.I. Fedorov, ets. Generators of harmonic oscillations on tunnel diodes. Moscow, 1972.
- M. Kauda, Analytical and numerical techniques for analyzing an electrically short dipole with a nonlinear load. *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.v.28*, (1980), 71-78.
- J. Ladbury, D. Camell, Electrically short dipoles with a nonlinear load, a rerisited analysis. *IEEE Trans. Electromagnetic Compatibility. v.44, N1*, (2002), 38-44.
- Jankowski T., M. Kwapisz. On the existence and uniwueness of solutions of systems of differential equations with a deited arguments. *Annales Polonici Mathematici.* v.26, (1972), 253-277.
- Angelov V.G. Fixed point theorem in uniform spaces and applications. *Czechoslovak Math. J.* v.37, (1987), 19-33.
- Kelley J.L. General Topology D. Van Nostrand Company, New York, 1959.

Recommended for publication by Department of Mine Automation, Faculty of Mining Electromechanics