

ВЪРХУ НЕУТРАЛНИТЕ УРАВНЕНИЯ С ПРЕДАВАТЕЛНИТЕ ЛИНИИ БЕЗ ЗАГУБИ С ТУНЕЛЕН ДИОД И УСПОРЕДНО ВКЛЮЧЕН КАПАЦИТЕТ

Васил Ангелов

Минно-геоложки университет
"Св. Иван Рилски"
София 1700, България
E-mail: angelov@staff.mgu.bg

РЕЗЮМЕ: Чрез неподвижна точка е доказана теорема за съществуване на решение на неутрални уравнения, възникващи при предавателните линии.

Настоящата работа продължава нашите изследвания върху уравненията на предавателните линии без загуби с нелинейни резистивни елементи.

В една предишна работа [1] изучавахме неутрални уравнения ([2]-[4]) с нелинейности предизвикани от нелинейните волт-амперни характеристики, които са от полиномен тип. Тук ние разглеждаме една начална задача за неутрални уравнения с експоненциални нелинейности в дясната страна. Такъв проблем е еквивалентен (вж. [2], [5]-[7]) на една начално-гранична задача за линейна хиперболична система с нелинейни гранични условия. Наистина, в някой случай апроксимирането на волт-амперната характеристика на дифузионния ток в полупроводниците ([8], [9]) може да се изглади чрез експоненциална функция. Зависимостта между дифузионния ток и напрежението е от вида $i(u) = (Ae^{\alpha u} - 1)$, където A и α са константи. Известно е, че такъв тип нелинейности имат и други приложения (вж. [10], [11]). Следователно формулирайки началната задача $\dot{u}(t) = F(u(\Delta_1(t)), \dots, u(\Delta_m(t)), \dot{u}(\gamma_1(t)), \dots, \dot{u}(\gamma_n(t))), \quad t > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= \varphi(t), \quad t \leq 0 \\ \dot{u}(t) &= \dot{\varphi}(t), \quad t \leq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

ние трябва да наложим такива условия върху дясната страна на (1), $F(u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_n)$, че да може да се включат експоненциални нелинейности. Например F може да бъде избрана от вида

$$F(u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_n) = A \sum_{k=1}^n (e^{\alpha_k u_k} - 1) + B \sum_{s=1}^n v_s.$$

Задача (1) се свежда до следната (след полагане $y(t) = \dot{u}(t)$ for $t > 0$ и $\psi(t) = \dot{\varphi}(t)$ при $t \leq 0$, предполагайки $\varphi(0) = 0$):

$$y(t) = F \left(\int_0^{\Delta_1(t)} y(\tau) d\tau, \dots, \int_0^{\Delta_m(t)} y(\tau) d\tau, y(\gamma_1(t)), \dots, y(\gamma_n(t)) \right), t \in [0, T_0]$$

$$y(t) = \psi(t), \quad t \leq 0. \quad (2)$$

Правим следните предположения (C) :

(C1) функциите $\Delta_i(t), \gamma_s(t) : R_+^1 \rightarrow R^1$

(C1)

($i = 1, \dots, m; s = 1, \dots, n$), са непрекъснати,

$\Delta_i(0) \leq 0, \gamma_s(0) \leq 0$ и $t - \Delta_i(t) \geq \Delta_0 > 0$,
 $t - \gamma_s(t) \geq \gamma_0 > 0$ за някакви константи $\Delta_0, \gamma_0 > 0$.

(C2) функциите $\psi(t) : R_-^1 \rightarrow R^1$ и

$F(u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_n) : R^{m+n} \rightarrow R^1$ и $\psi(\cdot) : R_-^1 \rightarrow R^1$ са непрекъснати и удовлетворяват условието

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(0) &= F \left(\int_0^{\Delta_1(0)} \psi(s) ds, \dots, \int_0^{\Delta_m(0)} \psi(s) ds, \psi(\gamma_1(0)), \dots, \psi(\gamma_n(0)) \right) = \\ &= F(0, \dots, 0, \psi(0), \dots, \psi(0)). \end{aligned}$$

(C3) $|F(u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_n)| \leq A \sum_{k=1}^n |e^{\alpha_k u_k} - 1| + B \sum_{s=1}^n |v_s|$,

където A, B са положителни константи.

(C4) $|F(u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_n)| - |F(\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2, \dots, \bar{u}_m, \bar{v}_1, \dots, \bar{v}_n)| \leq$

$$\leq A_1 \sum_{k=1}^m |e^{\alpha_k u_k} - e^{\alpha_k \bar{u}_k}| + B_1 \sum_{s=1}^n |v_s - \bar{v}_s|,$$

където A_1 и B_1 са положителни константи.

$$(C5) \quad A \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{2|\alpha_k|T_0}{2^{|\alpha_k|}P_0T_0} + nB \leq 1, \text{ където } P_0 > 0 \text{ е}$$

константа, така че $|\alpha_k|P_0T_0 < 2$.

Теорема 1. Ако са изпълнени условията (C), то началната задача (2) има единствено непрекъснато решение.

Доказателство: Разглеждаме множеството X , състоящо се от всички непрекъснати функции $f(t): [0, T_0] \rightarrow R^1$, ($T_0 > 0$), чиито рестрикции върху $(-\infty, 0]$ съвпадат с $\psi(t)$. То става равномерно пространство, снабдено с една достатъчна фамилия от псевдометрики (метрики) (вж. [13] – [14]):

$$A = \{ \rho_\lambda(f, \tilde{f}) : \lambda \in [0, \infty) \},$$

$$\rho(f, \tilde{f}) = \sup \{ e^{-\lambda t} |f(t) - \tilde{f}(t)| : t \in [0, T_0] \}.$$

Въвеждаме следното подмножество M на X :

$$M = \{ f(\cdot) \in (X, A) : |f(t)| \leq P_0, t \in [0, T_0] \},$$

където P_0 е константа, която не зависи от f . Лесно се проверява, че множеството M е ограничено и затворено (вж. [13]). Дефинираме оператора $T : (X, A) \rightarrow (X, A)$ чрез дясната страна (2):

$$(Tf)(t) = \begin{cases} F \left(\int_0^{\Delta_1(t)} f(s)ds, \dots, \int_0^{\Delta_m(t)} f(s)ds, f(\gamma_1(t)), \dots, f(\gamma_n(t)) \right), t \in [0, T_0] \\ \psi(t), t \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Очевидно $(Tf)(t)$ е непрекъснатата функция.

Ще покажем, че T изобразява M в себе си. Наистина нека $f \in M$. Тогава предвид (C3) имаме:

$$|(Tf)(t)| \leq A \sum_{k=1}^m \left| e^{\alpha_k \int_0^{\Delta_k(t)} f(s)ds} - 1 \right| + B \sum_{s=1}^n |f(\gamma_s(t))| \leq$$

$$\leq \left[A \sum_{k=1}^m (e^{|\alpha_k|P_0\Delta_k(t)} - 1) + nBP_0 \right].$$

Известно е, че за $0 < w < 2$ имаме:

$$e^w - 1 = w + \frac{w^2}{2!} + \frac{w^3}{3!} + \dots < w \left(1 + \frac{w}{2} + \left(\frac{w}{2} \right)^2 + \dots \right) = \frac{2w}{2-w}.$$

Следователно

$$\left| \alpha_k \int_0^{\Delta_k(t)} f(s)ds \right| \leq |\alpha_k| P_0 |\Delta_k(t)| \leq |\alpha_k| P_0 T_0$$

и тогава

$$\left[A \sum_{k=1}^m (e^{|\alpha_k|P_0\Delta_k(t)} - 1) + nBP_0 \right] \leq A \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{2|\alpha_k|P_0T_0}{2^{|\alpha_k|}P_0T_0} + nBP_0 \leq P_0,$$

стига само $A \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{2|\alpha_k|T_0}{2^{|\alpha_k|}P_0T_0} + nB \leq 1$ което е (C5).

Остава да покажем, че T е свиващ оператор. Наистина за всеки $f, \tilde{f} \in M$ предвид неравенствата

$$t - \Delta_0 \geq \Delta_k(t) \geq \frac{|\alpha_k|P_0\Delta_k(t)}{\lambda} \quad (\text{за достатъчно големи}$$

$$\lambda > 0) \Rightarrow -\lambda t + |\alpha_k|P_0\Delta_k(t) \leq -\lambda\Delta_0$$

имаме за $t \in [0, T_0]$, за които $\Delta_k(t) > 0$:

$$|(Tf)(t) - (T\tilde{f})(t)| \leq A_1 \sum_{k=1}^m \left| e^{\alpha_k \int_0^{\Delta_k(t)} f(s)ds} - e^{\alpha_k \int_0^{\Delta_k(t)} \tilde{f}(s)ds} \right| +$$

$$+ B_1 \sum_{s=1}^n |f(\gamma_s(t)) - \tilde{f}(\gamma_s(t))| \leq$$

$$\leq A_1 \sum_{k=1}^m |\alpha_k| e^{\alpha_k \int_0^{\Delta_k(t)} \hat{f}(s)ds} \left| \int_0^{\Delta_k(t)} f(s)ds - \int_0^{\Delta_k(t)} \tilde{f}(s)ds \right| +$$

$$+ B_1 \sum_{s=1}^n e^{-\lambda\gamma_s(t)} |f(\gamma_s(t)) - \tilde{f}(\gamma_s(t))| e^{\lambda\gamma_s(t)} \leq$$

$$\leq A_1 \sum_{k=1}^m |\alpha_k| e^{\alpha_k P_0 \Delta_k(t)} \left| \int_0^{\Delta_k(t)} e^{\lambda s} ds \right| \rho_\lambda(f, \tilde{f}) +$$

$$+ B_1 \sum_{s=1}^n e^{\lambda\gamma_s(t)} \rho_\lambda(f, \tilde{f}) \leq$$

$$\leq e^{\lambda t} \left[A_1 \sum_{k=1}^m |\alpha_k| e^{-\lambda t + \alpha_k P_0 \Delta_k(t)} \left| \frac{e^{\lambda \Delta_k(t)} - 1}{\lambda} \right| + \right.$$

$$\left. + B_1 \sum_{s=1}^n e^{-\lambda t + \lambda \gamma_s(t)} \right] \rho_\lambda(f, \tilde{f}) \leq$$

$$\leq e^{\lambda t} \rho_\lambda(f, \tilde{f}) \left[A_1 \sum_{k=1}^m |\alpha_k| \frac{e^{-\lambda \Delta_0} e^{\lambda t}}{\lambda} + B_1 n e^{-\lambda \gamma_0} \right] \leq$$

$$\leq e^{\lambda t} \rho_\lambda(f, \tilde{f}) \left[\frac{A_1 e^{-\lambda \Delta_0} e^{\lambda T_0} \sum_{k=1}^m |\alpha_k|}{\lambda} + B_1 n e^{-\lambda \gamma_0} \right].$$

Следователно

$$\rho_\lambda(Tf, T\tilde{f}) \leq \left[A_1 \sum_{k=1}^m |\alpha_k| \frac{e^{\lambda T_0 - \lambda \Delta_0}}{\lambda} + nB_1 e^{-\lambda \gamma_0} \right] \rho_\lambda(f, \tilde{f}).$$

Изразът в последните скоби е по-малък от 1 (ако $T_0 - \Delta_0 \leq 0$) при достатъчно големи стойности на λ , което означава, че T е свиващ оператор.

Трябва накрая да изберем началното приближение.

Наистина нека

$$x_0(t) = \begin{cases} \psi(t), & t \leq 0 \\ \psi(0), & t > 0 \end{cases}$$

Тогава

$$|(Tx_0)(t) - x_0(t)| = \begin{cases} 0, & t \leq 0 \\ |F(0, \dots, 0, \psi(0), \dots, \psi(0))|, & t > 0 \end{cases}$$

Изображението $j: A \rightarrow A$ в този случай е $j(\lambda) = \lambda \Rightarrow$

$$\Rightarrow j^k(\lambda) = \lambda, \text{ т.е.}$$

$$\rho_{j^k(\lambda)}(x_0, Tx_0) \leq |F(0, \dots, 0, \psi(0), \dots, \psi(0))| \cdot \infty \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots)$$

Следователно T има единствена неподвижна точка, която е решение на (2).

Така теоремата е доказана.

ЛИТЕРАТУРА

- Angelov V.G., On the neutral equations with polynomial nonlinearities arising in lossless transmission lines. (ibid)
- Hale J. K. Theory of functional differential equations, *Springer Verlag*, 1977.
- Myshkis A. D. Linear differential equations with retarded argument, *Nauka*, Moscow, 1972 (in Russian).
- Kamenskii G. A., Skubachevskii A. L. Linear boundary value problems for differential-difference equations, Moscow, 1992 (in Russian).
- Brayton R. K. Bifurcation of periodic solutions in a nonlinear difference-differential equations of neutral type. *Quarterly of Applied Mathematics*. v.24, N3, (1966), 215-224.
- Brayton R. K. Nonlinear oscillations in a distributed network. v.24, N4, (1968), 289-301.

- Lopes O. Forced oscillations in nonlinear neutral differential equations. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, v.29, N1, (1975), 196-207.
- Bessonov L.A. Nonlinear electrical circuits. Moscow, 1977.
- Andreev V.S., V.I. Popov, A.I. Fedorov, ets. Generators of harmonic oscillations on tunnel diodes. Moscow, 1972.
- M. Kauda, Analytical and numerical techniques for analyzing an electrically short dipole with a nonlinear load. *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.* v.28, (1980), 71-78.
- J. Ladbury, D. Camell, Electrically short dipoles with a nonlinear load, a revisited analysis. *IEEE Trans. Electromagnetic Compatibility*. v.44, N1, (2002), 38-44.
- Jankowski T., M. Kwapisz. On the existence and uniqueness of solutions of systems of differential equations with a delayed arguments. *Annales Polonici Mathematici*. v.26, (1972), 253-277.
- Angelov V.G. Fixed point theorem in uniform spaces and applications. *Czechoslovak Math. J.* v.37, (1987), 19-33.
- Kelley J.L. General Topology D. Van Nostrand Company, New York, 1959.

Препоръчана за публикуване от
катедра "Автоматизация на мините", МЕМФ

ON THE NEUTRAL LOSSLESS TRANSMISSION LINE EQUATIONS WITH TUNNEL DIODE AND A LUMPED PARALLEL CAPACITANCE

Vasil Angelov

University of Mining and Geology "St. Ivan Rilski"
Sofia 1700, Bulgaria

E-mail: angelov@staff.mgu.bg

ABSTRACT

By means of a fixed point approach an existence theorem for neutral equations arising in transmission lines is proved.

THE PRESENT PAPER CONTINUOUS INVESTIGATIONS ON LOSSLESS TRANSMISSION LINES EQUATIONS WITH NONLINEAR RESISTIVE ELEMENTS.

In a previous paper [1] we have studied neutral equations ([2]-[4]) with nonlinearities caused by a nonlinear $V - I$ characteristic which is of polynomial type. Here we consider an initial value problem for neutral equations with an exponential nonlinearity in the right-hand side. Such a problem is equivalent (cf. [5]-[7], [2]) to an initial-boundary value problem for linear hyperbolic system with a nonlinear boundary conditions. Indeed in some cases the approximation of the $V - I$ characteristic curve generated by the diffusion current in semiconductors [8]-[9] can be fitted by an exponential function. The relation between the diffusion current and the voltage is of the type $i(u) = (Ae^{\alpha u} - 1)$, where A and α are constants. It is known that such type nonlinearities have also another applications (cf. [10], [11]).

Therefore formulating the initial value problem

$$\dot{u}(t) = F(u(\Delta_1(t)), \dots, u(\Delta_m(t)), \dot{u}(\gamma_1(t)), \dots, \dot{u}(\gamma_n(t))), \quad t > 0$$

$$u(t) = \varphi(t), \quad t \leq 0 \quad (1)$$

$$\dot{u}(t) = \dot{\varphi}(t), \quad t \leq 0,$$

we have to impose such conditions on the right-hand side of (1), $F(u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_n)$, in order to include exponential nonlinearities. For instance F can be chosen of the type

$$F(u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_n) = A \sum_{k=1}^m (e^{\alpha_k u_k} - 1) + B \sum_{s=1}^n v_s.$$

We reduce the problem (1) to the following one (putting $y(t) = \dot{u}(t)$ for $t > 0$ and $\psi(t) = \dot{\varphi}(t)$ for $t \leq 0$ assuming $\varphi(0) = 0$):

$$y(t) = F \left(\int_0^{\Delta_1(t)} y(\tau) d\tau, \dots, \int_0^{\Delta_m(t)} y(\tau) d\tau, y(\gamma_1(t)), \dots, y(\gamma_n(t)) \right), t \in [0, T_0]$$

$$y(t) = \psi(t), \quad t \leq 0. \quad (2)$$

We make the following assumptions (C) :

(C1) functions $\Delta_i(t), \gamma_s(t) : R_+^1 \rightarrow R^1$

($i = 1, \dots, m; s = 1, \dots, n$) are continuous and $t - \Delta_i(t) \geq \Delta_0 > 0, t - \gamma_s(t) \geq \gamma_0 > 0$ for some constants Δ_0, γ_0 .

(C2) the functions $F(u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_n) : R^{m+n} \rightarrow R^1$ and $\psi(\cdot) : R^1 \rightarrow R^1$ are continuous and satisfies the condition

$$\psi(0) = F \left(\int_0^{\Delta_1(0)} \psi(s) ds, \dots, \int_0^{\Delta_m(0)} \psi(s) ds, \psi(\gamma_1(0)), \dots, \psi(\gamma_n(0)) \right) = F(0, \dots, 0, \psi(0), \dots, \psi(0)).$$

$$(C3) |F(u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_n)| \leq A \sum_{k=1}^m |e^{\alpha_k u_k} - 1| + B \sum_{s=1}^n |v_s|$$

where A, B are positive constants.

$$(C4) |F(u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_n)| - |F(\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2, \dots, \bar{u}_m, \bar{v}_1, \dots, \bar{v}_n)| \leq A_1 \sum_{k=1}^m |e^{\alpha_k u_k} - e^{\alpha_k \bar{u}_k}| + B_1 \sum_{s=1}^n |v_s - \bar{v}_s|.$$

where A_1 and B_1 are positive constants.

$$(C5) A \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{2|\alpha_k| T_0}{2 - |\alpha_k| P_0 T_0} + nB \leq 1, \text{ where } P_0 > 0 \text{ is chosen}$$

such that $|\alpha_k| P_0 T_0 < 2$.

Theorem 1. Under assumption (C) the initial value problem (2) has a unique continuous solution.

Proof. Consider the set X consisting of all continuous functions $f(t) : [0, T_0] \rightarrow R^1, (T_0 > 0)$ whose restrictions on

$(-\infty, 0]$ coincide with $\psi(t)$. It becomes a uniform space endowed with the following saturated family of pseudometrics (metrics) (cf. [13]-[14]):

$$\mathbf{A} = \left\{ \rho_\lambda(f, \tilde{f}) : \lambda \in [0, \infty) \right\},$$

$$\rho(f, \tilde{f}) = \sup \left\{ e^{-\lambda t} |f(t) - \tilde{f}(t)| : t \in [0, T_0] \right\}.$$

Introduce the following subset M of X :

$$M = \{f(\cdot) \in (X, \mathbf{A}) : |f(t)| \leq P_0, t \in [0, T_0]\},$$

where P_0 is a constant which does not depend on f . It is easy to see that M is bounded and closed set. Define the operator $T : (X, \mathbf{A}) \rightarrow (X, \mathbf{A})$ by right-hand side of (2):

$$(Tf)(t) = \begin{cases} F \left(\int_0^{\Delta_1(t)} f(s) ds, \dots, \int_0^{\Delta_m(t)} f(s) ds, f(\gamma_1(t)), \dots, f(\gamma_n(t)) \right), & t \in [0, T_0] \\ \psi(t), & t \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Obviously $(Tf)(t)$ is a continuous function.

In what follows we show that T maps the set M into itself. Indeed let $f \in M$. Then in view of (C3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(Tf)(t)| &\leq A \sum_{k=1}^m \left| e^{\int_0^{\Delta_k(t)} f(s) ds} - 1 \right| + B \sum_{s=1}^n |f(\gamma_s(t))| \leq \\ &\leq \left[A \sum_{k=1}^m (e^{|\alpha_k| P_0 \Delta_k(t)} - 1) + nBP_0 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

It is know that for $0 < w < 2$ we have:

$$e^w - 1 = w + \frac{w^2}{2!} + \frac{w^3}{3!} + \dots < w \left(1 + \frac{w}{2} + \left(\frac{w}{2}\right)^2 + \dots \right) = \frac{2w}{2-w}.$$

Therefore

$$\left| \alpha_k \int_0^{\Delta_k(t)} f(s) ds \right| \leq |\alpha_k| P_0 |\Delta_k(t)| \leq |\alpha_k| P_0 T_0$$

and then

$$\left[A \sum_{k=1}^m (e^{|\alpha_k| P_0 \Delta_k(t)} - 1) + nBP_0 \right] \leq A \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{2|\alpha_k| P_0 T_0}{2 - |\alpha_k| P_0 T_0} + nBP_0 \leq P_0,$$

provided $A \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{2|\alpha_k| T_0}{2 - |\alpha_k| T_0} + nB \leq 1$ which is (C5).

It remains to show that T is contractive operator. Indeed for every f and $\tilde{f} \in M$ and in view of the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} t - \Delta_0 &\geq \Delta_k(t) \geq \frac{|\alpha_k| P_0 \Delta_k(t)}{\lambda} \quad (\text{for sufficiently large } \lambda > 0) \\ &\Rightarrow -\lambda t + |\alpha_k| P_0 \Delta_k(t) \leq -\lambda \Delta_0 \end{aligned}$$

we have for $t \in [0, T_0]$ for which $\Delta_k(t) > 0$:

$$\left| (Tf)(t) - (T\tilde{f})(t) \right| \leq A_1 \sum_{k=1}^m \left| e^{\int_0^{\Delta_k(t)} f(s) ds} - e^{\int_0^{\Delta_k(t)} \tilde{f}(s) ds} \right| +$$

$$+ B_1 \sum_{s=1}^n |f(\gamma_s(t)) - \tilde{f}(\gamma_s(t))| \leq$$

$$\leq A_1 \sum_{k=1}^m |\alpha_k| e^{\int_0^{\Delta_k(t)} \hat{f}(s) ds} \left| \int_0^{\Delta_k(t)} f(s) ds - \int_0^{\Delta_k(t)} \tilde{f}(s) ds \right| +$$

$$+ B_1 \sum_{s=1}^n e^{-\lambda \gamma_s(t)} |f(\gamma_s(t)) - \tilde{f}(\gamma_s(t))| e^{\lambda \gamma_s(t)} \leq$$

$$\leq A_1 \sum_{k=1}^m |\alpha_k| e^{\alpha_k P_0 \Delta_k(t)} \left| \int_0^{\Delta_k(t)} e^{\lambda s} ds \right| \rho_\lambda(f, \tilde{f}) +$$

$$+ B_1 \sum_{s=1}^n e^{\lambda \gamma_s(t)} \rho_\lambda(f, \tilde{f}) \leq$$

$$\leq e^{\lambda t} \left[A_1 \sum_{k=1}^m |\alpha_k| e^{-\lambda t + \alpha_k P_0 \Delta_k(t)} \left| \frac{e^{\lambda \Delta_k(t)} - 1}{\lambda} \right| + \right.$$

$$\left. + B_1 \sum_{s=1}^n e^{-\lambda t + \lambda \gamma_s(t)} \right] \rho_\lambda(f, \tilde{f}) \leq$$

$$\leq e^{\lambda t} \rho_\lambda(f, \tilde{f}) \left[A_1 \sum_{k=1}^m |\alpha_k| \frac{e^{-\lambda \Delta_0} e^{\lambda t}}{\lambda} + B_1 n e^{-\lambda \gamma_0} \right] \leq$$

$$\leq e^{\lambda t} \rho_\lambda(f, \tilde{f}) \left[\frac{A_1 e^{-\lambda \Delta_0} e^{\lambda T_0} \sum_{k=1}^m |\alpha_k|}{\lambda} + B_1 n e^{-\lambda \gamma_0} \right].$$

Consequently

$$\rho_\lambda(Tf, T\tilde{f}) \leq \left[A_1 \sum_{k=1}^m |\alpha_k| \frac{e^{\lambda T_0 - \lambda \Delta_0}}{\lambda} + nB_1 e^{-\lambda \gamma_0} \right] \rho_\lambda(f, \tilde{f}).$$

But for sufficiently large λ the expression in the bracket is smaller than 1 (if $T_0 - \Delta_0 \leq 0$) and therefore T is contractive operator.

Finally we have to choose an initial approximation. Indeed let

$$x_0(t) = \begin{cases} \psi(t), t \leq 0 \\ \psi(0), t > 0 \end{cases}.$$

Then

$$|(Tx_0)(t) - x_0(t)| = \begin{cases} 0, t \leq 0 \\ |F(0, \dots, 0, \psi(0), \dots, \psi(0))|, t > 0 \end{cases}$$

The map $j: A \rightarrow A$ in this case is $j(\lambda) = \lambda \Rightarrow$

$$\Rightarrow j^k(\lambda) = \lambda, \text{ i.e.}$$

$$\rho_{j^k(\lambda)}(x_0, Tx_0) \leq |F(0, \dots, 0, \psi(0), \dots, \psi(0))| \cdot \infty \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Therefore T has a unique fixed point which a solution of (2). Theorem is thus proved.

REFERENCES

- Angelov V.G., On the neutral equations with polynomial nonlinearities arising in lossless transmission lines. (ibid)
- Hale J. K. Theory of functional differential equations, *Springer Verlag*, 1977.
- Myshkis A. D. Linear differential equations with retarded argument, *Nauka*, Moscow, 1972 (in Russian).
- Kamenskii G. A., Skubachevskii A. L. Linear boundary value problems for differential-difference equations, Moscow, 1992 (in Russian).
- Brayton R. K. Bifurcation of periodic solutions in a nonlinear difference-differential equations of neutral type. *Quarterly of Applied Mathematics*. v.24, N3, (1966), 215-224.
- Brayton R. K. Nonlinear oscillations in a distributed network. v.24, N4, (1968), 289-301.
- Lopes O. Forced oscillations in nonlinear neutral differential equations. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, v.29, N1, (1975), 196-207.
- Bessonov L.A. Nonlinear electrical circuits. Moscow, 1977.
- Andreev V.S., V.I. Popov, A.I. Fedorov, ets. Generators of harmonic oscillations on tunnel diodes. Moscow, 1972.
- M. Kauda, Analytical and numerical techniques for analyzing an electrically short dipole with a nonlinear load. *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.* v.28, (1980), 71-78.
- J. Ladbury, D. Camell, Electrically short dipoles with a nonlinear load, a revisited analysis. *IEEE Trans. Electromagnetic Compatibility*. v.44, N1, (2002), 38-44.
- Jankowski T., M. Kwapisz. On the existence and uniqueness of solutions of systems of differential equations with a delayed arguments. *Annales Polonici Mathematici*. v.26, (1972), 253-277.
- Angelov V.G. Fixed point theorem in uniform spaces and applications. *Czechoslovak Math. J.* v.37, (1987), 19-33.
- Kelley J.L. General Topology D. Van Nostrand Company, New York, 1959.